

## EU AS GLOBALA ACTOR

Total answers: 153

**1.1: During the conference EU as Global Actor I participated as (more than one answer can be given)**

Total answers: 149

1: 4,0% (6)

2: 73,2% (109)

3: 2,0% (3)

4: 0,7% (1)

5: 3,4% (5)

6: 7,4% (11)

7: 1,3% (2)

8: 10,1% (15)

9: 8,1% (12)

1 = Unfortunately I was not able to participate, no need to respond to the following questions

2 = General delegate

3 = Organizer

4 = Key-note speaker

5 = Seminar leader

6 = Workshop facilitator

7 = Reporter

8 = Project fair exhibitor

9 = Else ([Detailed review](#)) se nedan

1. Researcher

2. representant NGO

3. Particiant

4. listner

5. panel member

6. Researcher

7. attendee

8. Poster displayer

9. workshop actor

10. participant

11. seminarspeaker

12. concerned citizen

## 1.2: My main occupation is

Total answers: 150

1: 34,0% (51)

2: 6,7% (10)

3: 12,0% (18)

4: 12,7% (19)

5: 1,3% (2)

6: 11,3% (17)

7: 22,0% (33)

1 = Teacher

2 = School leader

3 = Student

4 = Official

5 = Politician

6 = Working for an NGO

7 = Else ([Detailed review](#)) se nedan

#### **My main occupation is**

1. Consultant
2. Working for ESD in Malmö
3. Administrator
4. Consultant
5. researcher
6. Researcher
7. ESD practitioner
8. Researcher/Teacher
9. PhD student
10. Researcher on ESD+teacher
11. PhD-student
12. HEI coordinator
13. Director univ. network
14. Editor/coordinator ESS
15. researcher and educator
16. rce coordinator
17. social scientist
18. educ. administrator
19. National Council

#### **1.3: I first heard of EU as Global Actor through**

Total answers: 144

- 1: 30,6% (44)
- 2: 15,3% (22)
- 3: 39,6% (57)
- 4: 14,6% (21)

- 1 = The official webpage of the conference/newsletter/email
- 2 = Information from my employer
- 3 = From a colleague/friend
- 4 = Else ([Detailed review](#)) se nedan

#### **first heard of EU as Global Actor through**

1. From IPK
2. Other
3. Internationella kontoret
4. Emplyer and from a friend
5. Från globala skolans hems
6. inbjudan
7. From a WWF-conference
8. Nature school of Lund
9. LUCSUS
10. In Uppsala at a Doctorand
11. From CSS,
12. IPK s insynsråd
13. ESD conference in Sthlm
14. mail from EU- network
15. Invitation from friend
16. newsletter from a network
17. From IPK
18. CSR Europe newsletter
19. LUCSUS

#### **1.4: I live in**

Total answers: 150

1:  88,0%(132)

2:  8,7%(13)

3:  3,3%(5)

1 = Sweden

2 = Other EU-country

3 = Country outside of the EU


### 1.5: How well did EU as Global Actor succeed in its intention to merge the three expressions, Education for sustainable development, Lifelong learning and European key competences?

Total answers: 148

1:  18,9%(28)

2:  63,5%(94)

3:  14,9%(22)

4:  2,7%(4)

1 = Very good/excellent

2 = Good

3 = Less good

4 = Poor


### 1.6: The content of the conference in relation to my daily work-task

Total answers: 148

1:  28,4%(42)

2:  51,4%(76)

3:  17,6%(26)

4:  2,7%(4)

1 = Very good/excellent

2 = Good

3 = Less good

4 = Poor


### 1.7: The length of the conference

Total answers: 149

1:  39,6%(59)

2:  57,0%(85)

3:  3,4%(5)

4:  0,0%(0)

1 = Very good/excellent

2 = Good

3 = Less good

4 = Poor

### 1.8: The implementation of and presentations during panel session

Total answers: 139

1:  15,8%(22)

2:  61,2%(85)

3:  20,1%(28)

4:  2,9%(4)

- 1 = Very good/excellent
- 2 = Good
- 3 = Less good
- 4 = Poor

### 1.9: Course arrangements and personal service during the conference

Total answers: 144

- 1: 50,0% (72)
- 2: 43,8% (63)
- 3: 5,6% (8)
- 4: 0,7% (1)

- 1 = Very good/excellent
- 2 = Good
- 3 = Less good
- 4 = Poor

### 1.10: The contribution of the mobile interaction "Join the dialogue" to the overall effect of the Conference

Total answers: 143

- 1: 36,4% (52)
- 2: 46,2% (66)
- 3: 15,4% (22)
- 4: 2,1% (3)

- 1 = Very good/excellent
- 2 = Good
- 3 = Less good
- 4 = Poor

### 1.11: Comments regarding the seminars that you participated in

Total answers: 90 se nedan

#### Comments regarding the seminars that you participated in

1. Very interstering
2. Good information but more time for discussions needed!
3. no
4. It was rather poor in engaging delegates in discussion, to much time was spent on "preaching"
5. interesting facts and interesting meetings there is always something new to learn
6. I was at the seminar Key competencies by skolverket, but it did not i my wiew adress that are so much. Only focuses on parts ofkey competencies. I would wanted more information about the whole subject.
7. It is important that the speakers have a visual support, as some of them had. I really enjoyed Anders Wijkmans and Charles Hopkins seminars
8. OK, not fantastic
9. I liked the seminars very much.
10. Enlightening! Global College + CRC and ESD
11. i: interesting, but it didn't fulfill my expectations. I would like more concrete exmples for upper level education j: intresting
12. I should have needed more descriptions about the seminars, it was a little bit difficult to choose.
13. The session on Climate Change and Informal Learning delt little (or not at all) with informal learning. It was an interesting process, but did not include anything related to ESD or informal processes of engagement... it discussed Region Skåne's Climate Stakeholder process - which while interesting - appeared to be a very formal process. RCE Skåne was well done... explaining

the process of what an RCE is, how it came about and who are the main actors.

14. I as a person living in Lund/Skane am ashamed of that the media was so invisible!!

15. I just would like to say that I enjoyed the seminars I participated they were really interesting to add one more perspective and see one more initiative

16. Young master's programme: Tech difficulties to get started (this should be sorted out ahead of time), good to have various inputs from different people. It seemed like it wasn't very successful from the people who presented it - seemed strange to me. I went to Life Long Learning programme, and overall that was good.

17. Bra föreläsare, hyfsad interaktion och samtal mellan deltagarna.

18. I really liked the seminar I, the world on your plate. Really interesting and good work!

19. Fek rubriker och ingen presentation av innehållet gjorde att jag missuppfattade alla. Skimutis och miljöparti-Lotta var ebentligen ganska ointressanta men vi hade roliga diskussioner vi som var där!

20. The first seminar, Climate Change in Informal Learning, was a disaster. The content of the seminar was not according to the subtitle, so I was very disappointed. The other one concerning climate change on a plate was very good, especially the speaker from a pre-school in Malmö.

21. I participated in the Climate seminars and they were very good and highly interesting

22. Highly relevant and good - fewer participants - better dialogue

23. Very good, I like the global collage and Ingrid Prawling

24. The seminars were too general, I was hoping for more in-depth lectures and practical tools.

25. Seminar on Key competences was mostly about the Swedish school system. Connection too thin. Nevertheless interesting as such. seminar on RCE interesting.

26. Key competences, interesting but I would have appreciated a more general discussion about how this is perceived at different levels in the educational system. The focus was Sweden and if you are a part of a foreign school system I wonder how relevant the implementation and level adaptation work is. My second workshop Life long learning was good for those with that kind of interest, but for me, I was in the wrong seminar.

27. sem i and j. Content was good, but too little time for questions.

28. I really found the seminars very interesting. The people from Globala Gymnasiet true idealists. Good job they did.

29. Very interesting

30. Very interesting

31. early childhood seminar- day 1- very disappointing on so many levels

32. Climate change in Informal learning - I am sorry to say that I was disappointed with the seminar since it DOES NOT DEAL AT ALL with informal learning!!!! Sustainable development on a Plate - it was really interesting and well organized. I really enjoyed it!

33. I participated in the RCE Skane seminar, the presentation was well prepared but the room too small for such an interesting topic.

34. Not so interesting

35. Moore good examples of practical things from the educational reality

36. did not attend

37. All of them were very interesting and gave me the information I was searching for/ needed.

38. it was nice to learn about the Swedish experience and framework, but I wonder how helpful that was to all participants (e.g. the key competencies seminar was totally built around the Swedish ed system. I thought it was great and learningful, but not sure about others)

39. Good leadership and appropriate topics.

40. poorly organized poorly presented

41. A little bit of no engagement, most of it just organisation

42. The content of one of the seminars did not quite correspond to its description. Both seminars were interesting and were examples of good practice. Some more analysis on important factors in spreading these kinds of practices would have made it even better. For example, why does it work so well here, and how can it be successfully spread?

43. It was good that I hear the experiences from RCE activities from the coordinator. I wonder that there are so many key persons of ESD in this seminar. It might mean that they hope RCE is a good framework or method to promote ESD further.

44. The seminars were fine but hard to link to EU level

45. on school & food: good to excellent presentations -- but not enough time for Q&A

46. The seminar from Skolverket was not so good. I got depressed over the plans for the new Curriculum Nothing about ESD. I think Sweden is going back to LGR 69 in School questions.
47. Unfortunately, I attended the conference on the second day and thus didn't have the opportunity to participate in the seminars, but I'm sure they were great!
48. ESD and informal learning was not at all about informal learning just policies
49. Not much of seminar, most presentation.
50. I met inspired and engaging persons who gave me lots of ideas and inspiration (Lund calling, The Global College)
51. I met inspired and engaging persons who gave me lots of ideas and inspiration (Lund calling, The Global College)
52. I think the name of the seminars didn't really fitted with what we got.
53. Lifelong learning: Inspiring, positive atmosphere.
54. a world in ma plate was very good and balanced with many voices. Ingrid Pramling has a great knowledge, but her seminar did not focus on ESD issues rather practical issues of teaching younger generations in general.
55. Seminarierna som jag gick på, RCE-Skåne och Life Long learning Programme upplevde jag mest som uppläsning av information som man redan kan få ut av deras hemsida. Jag saknade interaktion med deltagarna och mellan deltagarna - dessutom upplevde jag att beskrivningen på dessa seminarium inte stämde med seminariet i sig.
56. was send to a seminar which I hadn't choosen. unfortunately It gave information i already knew. The other seminar CR in practice was ecellent and gave me many new aspects
57. I found them not very engaging unfortunately
58. Seminar c Lifelong Learning Programme- Good presentation of various programs. Seminar e The Global School and Athena in Practice Good presentation.
59. Great seminars hold by Per Wickenberg, Torvald Jakobsson, Elsie Anderberg, Birgitta Nordén and the rest
60. A. EU Key competencies: nearly a general lecture not much of the key competencies, little discussion B. Lund Calling: excellent with a good demonstration of the YMP and "practical" examples with experiences from local schools with pupils, teachers and headmasters
61. Interesting and useful networking
62. They were ok; interesting for me in different degrees.
63. They were interesting.
64. Good
65. THE WORLD ON YOUR PLATE WAS VERY GOOD.
66. Information and discussions as expected.
67. interesting story about YMP (Lund Calling), I will try to find ways to implement this in the Netherlands
68. Raising more questions to discuss among all the delegates would improve the seminars.
69. Well prepared and focus on what was said in information.
70. Informal teaching was not so much about informal teaching. Keep to the heading of the seminar.
71. We was in the groop that did a presentation int the seminar.
72. Professor pramling was excellent! The seminar on 8 key concepts was very poor indeed due to the leader. His presentation was not on the key cioncepts, but on his own "old " powerpoint I think.
73. ok, interesting, although not this what I was expecting. rather interesting than useful (EU key competencies). informative (LLProgramme)
74. The second seminar "ESD into practice" was very bad from the professors. They tried to gave us time to discuss in groups but we never had the chance to develop our ideas or to give any real opinion.
75. Good, interesting subjects, skilled presenters, time for discussion
76. Since I did not know nything about about The Global School and RCE Skåne I got some basic information about these which was very interesting.
77. I want more good examples of practical work.
78. Did not participated
79. Less relevant for my work at the university.
80. Climate Change in informal learning was not what the name made it seem to bee.

81. headings were not correct but content was good
82. I found out interesting my participation in seminar "EU Key Competencies", it was interesting to listen to Sweden education system, but it was left a little time for discussing in more details key competences. I think more time was needed.
83. I would have preferred seminar on higher education
84. Interesting and inspiring.
85. interesting
86. Skolverket: The lecturer did not address the issues. Do not send a representative with language problems
87. It was sometimes hard to guess from the title what the seminar was going to be about
88. It was not enough time for questions and discussion
89. the level was very basic
90. Too much listening in the seminars and very little interaction. The presentations were not much related to ESD. the content did not correspond to the short description provided in advance.

### Comments regarding the workshop that you participated in

1. Not so inspiring
2. Good information but more time for discussions needed!
3. very interesting (göteborgsrekommendationen) and the outcome felt fruitful
4. I think a workshop needs to lead somewhere - unfortunately there wasn't too much time for creation.
5. very good, I did not know much about the young masters program before I went and the information I received will be useful in my daily work
6. It was interesting to see how much we have in common regarding these questions. I love the way the school is supposed to interact with the society.
7. Not too well organised
8. Engineering Education at Lund was well facilitated and great discussion.
9. I liked the seminars very much. It was better to have the discussions in small groups. In one there was a discussion in the whole group and that stopped for example me to say something. It's hard for me to take an active part of a discussion in English.
10. Interesting! Rewarding. Workshop nr 12
11. nice to be out in the sun!
12. Nature School - very nice... friendly, interactive and demonstrating how to get (primarily) youth engaged in an outdoor environment - urban nature or otherwise.
13. The loudspeaker was not on in the session hall and the speaker didn't know how to put on the microphone. Seminar B Lifelong learning
14. The workshop was very interesting too. It allowed me to have good insight about myself, human dynamics and facilitation processes.
15. I was signed up for Young Master's programme, but after the seminar I decided to switch to The Whole School Approach, which overall was quite good too. Good discussion and networking.
16. See above!
17. Putting the Gothenburg recommendations into practice: Excellent - we learned a lot and came up with many useful ideas and conclusions.
18. I was on the seminar concerning the young in the climate change. It was very basic and often felt like having the only intention bragging about Globala gymnasiet. For some people it might have been elevating and informational, but I had heard everything before.
19. That was also very good.
20. They didn't agree with the description of its content
21. See question 11
22. Very Good
23. It was interesting to visit the pre-school, but they could have prepared the visit a bit better and maybe found a person with better English skills to head the tour.
24. no comment - led one myself

25. The focus was higher education which is in my interest and it highlighted the issues and dilemmas that people in higher education are struggling with. We found out what we have in common but going from there to an action plan is a big step.
26. Workshop 2. This was the best part of the conference. Interactive and useful.
27. Also very interesting and involving.
28. Very interesting
29. Very interesting
30. Study Visit - Early Childhood : it was really interesting.
31. VERY GOOD!!!
32. Moore good examples of practical things from the educational reality
33. did not attend
34. I had hoped for more time for discussion, as we were all needing to understand more of each others work process and be able to find ways to cooperate in the future.
35. interesting. I would have liked there to be more focus around supporting the facilitator (for the active learning simulation) rather than or at least in addition to experiencing it myself.
36. I am looking forward to the feedback from the RCE workshop.
37. poorly organized poorly presented
38. Good. It was a real participation, an opportunity to have a try.
39. The workshop I attended was unfortunately a bit disappointing. The speaker did not seem to be prepared and had a quite poor command of English. The presentation was on a too basic level. The group discussion was excellent, however, thanks to the interesting participants!
40. The workshop group of YMP was small. It was for me good because I ask many questions.
41. It was ok but sometimes I think some other schools should be represented because Global school is so special only pupils with the highest marks can study there. The ideas they had were very good to practise for all teachers in different levels at school.
42. I attended the Gothenburg Recommendation Workshop, which was very well organised. I liked how first the workshop leader asked us to introduce ourselves before going into the discussion. Everyone had to say who they are and what they do. That was extremely effective for networking, at least for me. During the conference, there are so many people and we can't get to know everyone. But when people were introducing themselves, I made sure to talk to and exchange contacts with those who had similar interests/working with similar things. That for me was the best part of the workshop.
43. Gbg recommendation and practice was excellent methodological. interesting participant group
44. Good exchange of ideas.
45. I prefer focus group meetings where there are possibilities to discuss and share experiences from the participants. The title for my selection was a bit misleading (Sustainability learning challenges)
46. I prefer focus group meetings where there are possibilities to discuss and share experiences from the participants. The title for my selection was a bit misleading (Sustainability learning challenges)
47. I went to the workshop "Sustainability for who" and it was just a presentation of Sea-U. I don't mind Sea U but it wasn't a workshop! They just talked about their job (in very bad English!) I had expected to be able to try some of their ideas IRL.
48. Especially about nature school: Extraordinarily inspiring and useful for me, although I teach English language. The methodology that you can introduce the students to (at all age groups) are easy to understand and I am sure it will work with my own students.
49. Held one myself, and I enjoyed it.
50. Jag gick på Challenges in Sustainable Development learning, som hölls av LUCSUS. Jag uppskattade mycket workshoppen och tyckte att den som verktyg skulle kunna funka även i min undervisning - dessutom bidrog den till bra gruppdiskussioner och möjligheter att ta kontakt med andra deltagare.
51. I participated in simulation workshop. outstanding very well performed. I learned a lot.
52. I missed the workshop; had to go home earlier
53. Workshop 14 Active learning - Simulation Excellent presentation how simulation can be used as an important tool for decision makers. attended this workshop by mistake

54. Very interesting (simulations, simnet)
55. Active learning Simnet made a very intensive, highly performed workshop. I learned a lot and will use the material in my work in the future. [www.simnet.se](http://www.simnet.se)
56. 16: ESD in Higher education: The best. Excellent with a good presentation and discussions.
57. The workshop A child oriented perspectiv gave me a lot. Good discussions.
58. New contacts to follow up, interesting opinions and nice to work together with colleagues in the workshop.
59. Very interesting. It gave an opportunity to establish new networks thanks to the workshop participants from different countries
60. Very good/excellent
61. Information and discussions as expected.
62. SD on a plate: not inspiring en not informative... why travel from the Netherlands to do such a workshop...?
63. It seemed like the relation between the topic and the content in the workshop was a bit too far.
64. Well prepared and focus on what was said in information.
65. ok
66. I did;nt participate in any workshop. I was only there the first day
67. Intresting to take the childs view and excellent leaders.
68. great, very inspiring (sustainability learning challenges)
69. Excellent. I learned a lot from other participants perspectives and jobs in Sustainable Development.
70. We ahd a good ongoing discussin during the presentations/questions raised by me (workshop leader)
71. It was excellent to apply a method on concret problems in the real world and to see how difficult it is to agree, to define the problem and find solutions - though we did not get that far in any group.
72. I want more good examples of practical work.
73. Did not participated
74. Very good, at last something useful!
75. good
76. I expected more...
77. Would have prefered more "hands on" with useful examples of content.
78. Interesting
79. mwaha, not that new to me, but the setup was ok. Gentle. Not to complicated
80. Interesting workshop about archetypes
81. Very interesting
82. It was not enough time for questions and discussion, presentation was too long
83. I liked the workshop with Naturskolan i Lund, they could show their way to work with ESD in different levels
84. No comments. I could not attend day 2.

#### **Something that you thought was especially good with the conference.**

1. Bringing together old and new and different contexts
2. Bringing together old and new and different contexts
3. Bringing together old and new and different contexts
4. That EU and ESD was linked together
5. Very good mix of activity. The possibility to join the dialogue via mobil telephone was exeptionally good.
6. the variated arrangement. I liked the keynotespeakers heaviness, the seminars more lighter information and the workshops possibility to talk. I also enjoyed the well prepared possibilitys to mingle...
7. It was very good that people came from all over the world

8. questions from the audience even if it was hard to see the questions from the right part of the hall.
9. The mobile interaction, I think it keep the audience active.
10. I was really impressed by the possibility to interact with the cells during these days. It gave the seminars a new dimension, and I loved it. It felt like we were able to create a closeness amongst 350 people that is rare.
11. Hopkins
12. The ambition
13. Well organized in general, with good communication before the conference.
14. mix of workshops with plenary an informal meeting time
15. The mix of it all, the richness of the smorgasbord!
16. Hopkins and von Ginkel
17. A good mix of different stakeholders ; NGO´s, policymakers, teachers, researchers, municipality officers.
18. Will hopefully lead to action for ESD in my municipality and even in the region
19. It was fantastic to see "Green flag" with all children on the market. That´s the future!
20. I enjoyed the conference in general. Nice meeting, nice organization. Good cultural demonstrations. Good content etc
21. Seemed well organized. Free food was very nice (and free conference!) Availability of wall space for posters was a kind bonus too. Interesting and diverse 'entertainment' between sessions.
22. Charles Hopkins och Anders Wiikmans inslag var bra, tycker jag.
23. Att träffa vissa människor!
24. The keynote speakers and panel discussions at the end + the interaction with the audience
25. The interactional part with the text message-function.
26. The two key notes speakers day two made up the conference.
27. Anders Wijkman´s and Tove Klette´s presentations
28. The seminars and the workshops
29. All the arrangement aroud, especially the Spyken students. Good feeling with young people and culture. Creative use of cellphone!! Good interactive feeling. Ecellent you made it free so teachers could easier join! Very interesting and good program, thank you!
30. The long lunch/coffy breaks which gave an excellent occation for networking! The dinner was also great in that aspect.
31. The nice tone among participants and presenters.
32. Everybody was there and the closeness and easyness to talk to key note speakers.
33. The workshop and talking to other participants during breaks.
34. Good idea taht you could comment directly by using the phones. Good seminars
35. new ideas, meeting people, the dinner
36. new ideas, meeting people, the dinner
37. I enjoyed the chorus as an opening, they are very good. As well all the musicians during the breaks and dinner. The interactivity through the mobile phone was kind of amazing experience.
38. networking possibilities
39. the networking opportunities
40. Charles Hopkins! and Anders Wijkman! Merge them in Copenhagen!!!
41. mobile interaction, lots of young people
42. The young people / their music and enthusiasm
43. Very good atmosphere. Many young people. Very fine conference management and moderation. The mix of participants, professions, organisations and interest groups!
44. good oportunities for networking
45. Very nice with the performances, of youngsters from different schools
46. Excellent venues and service. Excellent with the interactive aspect of sending comments and questions via sms. Many interesting participants! I enjoyed the fair, and was inspired by the good examples of ESD in practise!
47. To be together with persons with same opinion was safe and nice.
48. good spacing and combination of plenary sessions, breaks, workshops and seminars interspersed with SMS

49. The discussions with many people around ESD problems. I met so many interesting people to talk with.
50. On the whole and from what I got to see/hear, it was quite informative, especially for those who are interested in ESD, but don't know much. Also, it was a perfect opportunity for networking and although I arrived on the second day and thus attended the conference from 8 am till 14 pm, I definitely gained something! - information and contacts! The food was good too :) So were the souvenir stalls!
51. The subject and theme of the meeting was excellent
52. People are willing to do a lot!
53. Personal meetings with people in my field of interest. Wijkman, Lindberg and the Keynote speakers were excellent
54. Personal meetings with people in my field of interest. Wijkman, Lindberg and the Keynote speakers were excellent
55. The mobile interaction! It was fun and you could see the delegates reactions at the very minute!
56. I like the technique-approach. I like all the details with entertainment, exhibitions, and the mix of small and large group activities, very good
57. Workshops and Charles Hopkins - Inspiring and talented and workshop that sparks creativity and interaction
58. A clear message about what ESD really means. It was really interesting to listen to the qualified key speaker. I liked the way they performed with high excellence, professionalism and with humor.
59. Anders Wijkman's presentation, the networking with the participants, the music and the project fair
60. I do like the opportunity for interesting and important possibilities in meeting different persons from EU. I also liked the mix of men and women, young and old persons.
61. 1) Comments that all should know their ecological footprints and act with newest knowledge by ecological handprints. 2) Suggestion that politicians and all other decisionmakers should get a degree in sustainable management.
62. Exhibitions and time schedule were good. Excellent with guides to the different localities! Extremely well organized transports. The exceptional show of contradictory values that was shown up at the end was an extraordinary quality of the conference. The excellent symbol of the values of consumption society symbolized by a price of a mobile phone to a person that already has got a functioning phone turned up to be of great pedagogical value and started discussions among the participants. (To those who have, shall be given.) Hanna's serious question about the conflict between the spoken word and our actions (the dinner) was one of the highlights of the conference. The way her question was handled by the panel was amazing and we are many that will not forget this. Ever. Together with the cell phone competition it was just a great symbol of why ESD for action is such a difficult task to handle.
63. All the delegates names written down and given, the name and organisation was a good way to take contact. The long lunches for networking. Workshops with interaction was a good way to create new contacts. The presence of young people at the conference.
64. Seminar 16 gave most
65. Everybody was friendly and helpful, warm atmosphere.
66. New and possible challenges, new meetings and new power
67. Organisation, generous and interesting
68. It's very good with conferences like this one, because you bring together different kind of people and professions from all over Europe and you can be able to create new networks.
69. Contents
70. Many participants from different countries.
71. NETWORKING. Focusing on Education for Sustainable Development. The Global School very good!
72. place! Lund is inspiring
73. This conference offered a platform to get to know people sharing some common values and goals. It was nice to have this chance to meet them, and probably we can do some teamwork someday.
74. The time for mingle and pauses during the days were nice. Also the dinner gave great

opportunity to talk with other people and get contacts.

75. all the different point of views! The speakers tuesday morning. But You could have lifted more of what is going on in the schools in Lund. I for instant work at the school in Lund thar first was appointed " School for sustaineble development" We could have given a seminar on that.

76. opportunity for comments and that the morerater took them into account - and asked the pannelists

77. The organisation in general was excellent. 90% of the leaders and presentors were excellent

78. The people, lots of knowledge, good opportunities for networking

79. Good to support the SD debate during the SE EU chair

80. The workshop no. 16

81. The mix of programs.

82. anders wijkman, charles hopkin, the dutch man who started RCE's, the sms-ing

83. Key note speakers had interesting presentations, that makes all of participants to think about their role in ESD, involvement in actions for better future. I'll search for their presentations on website, to have a look at them once again.

84. Discussing with colleagues from other parts of the country and with the people in the exhibition

85. ESD is as important as ever.

86. That it is good to keep the energy going in the right direction!!!

87. Well organised

88. The organization was very clear

89. Very nice and non-formal atmosphere, many interesting materails, exhibitions, guests from non-EU regions, exchange by philosophic thoughts, interesting key notes, etc.

90. to meet people from different occupations and discuss ESD

91. Good to get to know about the different initiatives at the local level

### **Something that you thought was less good with the conference**

1. Should have been more low footprint organic and fair trade content in meals

2. Should have been more low footprint organic and fair trade content in meals

3. Should have been more low footprint organic and fair trade content in meals

4. Widespread, but maybe that could also be an advantage

5. I would have liked to see some more seminars that focused on the possibilities for primaryschools to connect and exchange work with schools in other countries. We are very good at working with ESD but have problems finding international partners in primaryschools.

6. The food at the conference that wasnt good. And the nonecological thinking around coffebreaks and food. Only three toilets for all conference attendees And the impossibly boring and LONG session of pipetunes during the otherwise nice dinner...

7. I think there was to little dialogue and the conference wasn't held in an atmosphere of sustainability. We need to start with ourself here and today. We need to communicate and discuss the problems we all are facing. No one has the right answers, we need to be creative together!

8. That the food was not organic.

9. I believe that it is important to use visual support when you speak in front of 350 people. All of them didn't. The first days seminar was too long without a break, from 10-12.30. A lot of people rised to go to the toilett when there was 30minutes left and they didn't come back.

10. Sometimes it's boring listening to people's speeches when they just read from a paper, with quite a formal language. It's also a bit of ridiculous holding the seminars in English even though all the participants are Swedish.

11. Not daring to lift sensitive questions, as consupcion and power relations.

12. The failures of the tecnical equipment during the first session

13. Technical problems, when they occured.

14. the moderator at the panel debate took too much space
15. Would expect more young people...
16. I found the message board very distracting and took away from the speakers messages. While I like the use of modern technology and the attempt to include 'democracy and participation in action' the fact that the comments were anonymous allowed participants to write a variety of irrelevant (and potentially offensive or inaccurate) info that was often not based on fact. That being said, I think the idea was good, but needs to be worked with a bit more in its context and format.
17. That we didn't get ecofood and that we throw away so much!!
18. The only thing that I thought it was not so good was the thought about million cellular waves passing through my head when people were texting... :O)
19. I would prefer less plastic packaging and disposable coffee cups (or maybe info on why a disposable cup is better than a ceramic one from a Life-cycle perspective). The moderator for the panel at the end was a bit of an ass, frankly, but not really within your control. Sometimes the texting was distracting.
20. Tekniken var mindre bra, koppla in en ansvarig tekniker som har koll är ett tips till nästa gång.
21. The welcoming session during the first day wasn't the best way to start it. For example, the questions asked to mr Hopkins just before lunch was just a repetition of his earlier speech, the two compere should have listened better!
22. Sittningar i jättegrupp. Att vi inte hade seminarierna på samma ställe. Onödigt lyxig middag.
23. All the things around the conference itself, that nothing was ecological or Fairtrade (how come though Lund is a Fairtrade city?) and that the conference in my point of view didn't lead to anything concrete.
24. No
25. The description in the agenda of what the program contented. Lost of kommunikation in what building and where in the building the workshop where
26. Have to say lunch together with the male moderator. He wasn't the right person to moderat a conference.
27. I want eco-food or/and locally produced and recycling.
28. Where are the female keynotespeakers???
29. The fact that the technique didn't work, that the two "hosts" (especially the young girl) didn't seem very prepared for the task.It gave a less favourable impression since they were the faces of the conference and the organizers!
30. Bad hearing environment. Music in project fair and during lunch made it difficult to talk. (I skipped the fair). Noise at the dinner made it painful! Noise from projectors in small rooms made it difficult to follow what was said.
31. I was not very fond of the sms message board but as we discussed, Me friends and I probably belong to the wrong generation. The scope was wide with all levels of education present at the same time. I lack workshops and seminars with the focus of higher education. The implementation and awerness of the key competences are just as important there as in lower levels in the educational system. We probably need to do a conference like that for our selves.
32. I forgot my cell phone at home.
33. too much paper waste - no recycling bins at lunch - I felt very wasteful
34. Some of the people in charge to guide for different locations for seminars or workshops were never on time. It requires bit more or coordination.
35. The moderator during the final debate. He was such a bully!
36. the catering and dinner. needs to be communicated clearer what kind of food/drinks were served. Should have more obvious eco/local/fair trade links...
37. Anthony Simon as Headleder of the panel Day 2. He embaressed himself and Showed a lack of respect towards the young girl Hanna. It's very important in this context not to show that kind of behaviour towards young people, females ore other cathegories that we are trying to include in the dialog. It Showed all a lack of proffesionality and gave the hole program a bittersweet taste at the end.
38. too much talking on stage, too little facilitatde talking amongst the participants...like

dialogue cafes, open space, etc

39. the lack of a mechanism to better involve the young people

40. A tendency to look at the global and local perspectives, and less 'in between', in other words less from the European (and national, for that sake) perspectives.

41. no description of seminar/workshops making choices difficult leading to dissapointments

42. I very much missed a deeper analysis of the many (difficult) issues of implementation of ESD/lifelong learning on a larger scale; the formal discussions were kept on a level on where everyone of us already agree, which was a shame.

43. The last session was bad. The moderator didnt moderated but he did show by himself. And I thought it was really rude to the young women who asked the critical comment about the luxuality of the conference. Moderator must have competence to let the presenter speak.

44. More seminars, lecturers an people in the panel with other opinions had been more thrilling

45. the focus on what could or should be done about ESD in relation to EU was not sufficiently maintained

46. That the young people did not ccome to talk so much .I felt sorry for the girl Who asked why the conference people did not live like they learn.They ignored her but I think they should have told her that she was wrigt and that every conference in the future must be planed in a sustainably manner.

47. Perhaps there was less ideas for solutions to the problems at hand. There was much talk about the problems we are facing today to do with unsustainable development and what is being done wrong. Those are things people interested in ESD and SD are well aware of. But it would have been better if the focus was more on how to tackle these problems. Also, I guess the conference was a little too short, which is why it was hard to get many international participants. If it was longer, perhaps it would have been easier to get funding and providing some sort of grants/scholarships to encourage more people to come. The more diverse the participants, the more we can learn from each other and the larger the networks we make!

48. To much panels and speakers

49. We still have a long way to go, and the arrangements around it could have been more "green"

50. The ending. Why so abrupt repudiate and interrupt the inspired student who had relevant questions to the panel? If we want to be trustworthy in our aim to promote a sustainable future we have to listen to the young generation. Don't end with a sponsor who wants us to increase our consumption!

51. The ending. Why so abrupt repudiate and interrupt the inspired student who had relevant questions to the panel? If we want to be trustworthy in our aim to promote a sustainable future we have to listen to the young generation. Don't end with a sponsor who wants us to increase our consumption!

52. The leader of the panel session! He was very rude and mean, I hope young people usually doesn't meet reactions like that. Hopefully Mr Simons will learn about the reactions from the delegates. There were several who got very upset about his behavior.

53. Dinner

54. The moderator at the paneldiscussion had to much focus on himself and not on the other panelmembers. For international standards I think the people introducing and thanking on stage had a low knowledge of manner towards international guests.

55. Envägskommunikationen under seminarierna. Anthony Simons behov av att göra sin egen röst och åsikter hörd i tid och otid - och som moderator dessutom!!! Helt under all kritik! Jag har hört honom som moderator vid andra tillfällen och han gjorde samma sak då. Använd inte honom som moderator. Vill han uttala sina åsikter så får han ingå i panelen istället.

56. It was a disappointment that you didn't follow up a sustainable living by serving food in a brown bag and took the opportunity to teach all the delegates to asort their garbage in plastic-paper-glass and organic containers. I suggested it in an early stage but no response.

57. To much talk and analysing - to little is in progress and even less is done. Panel discussion was real disappointment.

58. It was not clear wether the food and coffee was produced in a sustainable way or not. Recycling wasn't very well developed.

59. Lack of toilets for ladies in the facility

60. Maybe the panel discussion - not much is new.
61. EU official participation - waste management
62. 1. No organic or Fairtrade food was served at the center. Plastic forks were used and the waste management was not planned.
63. Key-note speakers
64. One or two more excellent lecture.
65. Technique!!! It really took too much time during workshops to (re) arrange beamers, laptops etc...
66. Waste of money to have dinner in an expensive hotel. Too much pollution when we were having the meal, for instance the plastic forks, cups.
67. The panel discussion at the end was too much empty talk.
68. That it was so cold in the stadshallen.
69. See answer above. It's vital to lift "good practice and good examples" on conferences like this. Theories and research are OK. Together with good practice it becomes live.
70. The second lunch was not a lunch meal. Was more like a snack. But at the same time, it was acceptable due to the fact that the conference was for free.
71. The final panel discussion was not so good, the members of the panel, mostly the men, talked too long, the convenor too. He hadn't prepared good questions and he basically either talked himself or just handed over the microphone. He didn't pick up from the sms board. Also, very irritating, was the rude way he tried to silence a female delegate bringing up some of the things that there were many sms on. Sad way to end a good conference!
72. A sustainable development is founded in our perspective which in turn forms our attitudes and behaviour. Without a deep change in perspective there can not be any sustainable development. The conference gave me the impression that politicians, the industry and schools are still completely immersed in the traditional mind set and paradigm. Human understanding and behaviour must change at a very deep level. Sustainable development is not a purely intellectual and technical task, it requires a change in mind set, in behaviour, and in feeling. How do we achieve this? What kind of education caters to such needs? This was no issue at this very important conference. As a psychologist of religion I suggest that a focus on our spiritual side, on our need for meaning of life, and developing our understanding of these aspects in us would greatly enhance the work for a sustainable development.
73. The second day the Fair Project was poorly visited. The first day would have been enough.
74. The general sessions were not good at all. I can read all these documents that were referred to by myself. But they are just lots of beautiful words. I had expected advice and inspiration about what to do now. Something beyond the official documents. The idea with "Join the dialogue" was very good, but the chairman was not able to handle the situation. It was embarrassing! Young people screaming for attention with their sms and no response from the chair or the speakers.
75. Non organic food, non recycled paper cups, expensive restaurant (money could have been spent on something else) etc.
76. the food in many ways; I am vegan and there was no food left for me + when serving ecological food it also has to be wholesome, not white bread. the impression/presentation was not ecological with plastic mugs and forks
77. unclear purpose for workshops - more distinct task would have been better
78. Bad that we didn't separate the waste. Was the food ecological? No information about that!
79. That somebody from Unilever didn't explain how he came to be involved with this, after being involved so much with a company that is doing so much harm. As well as, again, a lot is known. Especially among young children it's about teaching them how to correct behaviour, how to act properly with nature etc. But more over how to massively change the big massive expansive over exploitation of the earth that has been done with former generations. These former generations.. shouldn't talk so much, admit they could have behaved differently and change it...
80. The presentation of the seminars and workshops could have been more detailed. The title of the seminars did not always cover the content.
81. The closing ceremony...

82. Too much listening/seminar in the first day. Seminars and workshops should be intertwined.

### Other comments.

1. Thanks and hope to see you in the near future!
2. Thank you for two very interesting days that brought up the need of changing the educational focus, it is very much in need of a vibrant discussion.
3. A perfect, innovative and creative conference with great importance! Well done
4. We need to walk the talk! There was a lot of talking in the conference and too little action! Some put themselves on high horses through speaking in a difficult language and did very little to invite for communication and dialogue. The sms was a good idea but it was like a parallel discussion that wasn't listened to.
5. I loved the initiative and I hope there will be a new conference soon, so I can bring my colleagues.
6. I would have appreciated an implementation challenge, not a "see you at the next conference", as a closing line.
7. Impressed by my hometown Lund and LU. Proud!
8. Good arrangement!!
9. Just one- now we need to walk the talk, I want to see some action
10. Now we have to spread all good things we got!
11. I think it is really important to have similar spaces as the one provided by the conference. This space allows us to see the diversity of initiatives, connect with them and develop new platforms of cooperation. Thanks
12. Thank you for arranging the conference. I enjoyed my time there.
13. Bra initiativ!
14. undrar kring mat och dryck. Var det ekologiskt, närodlat, Fairtrade? Det var inget man skyltade med i alla fall. Också synd på alla plastbestick, -glas och kartonger att äta ur. Ingen återvinning och inte minst flaskvatten(!?). Det kändes som om man missat helhetstänket vilket blir ett stort minus. Synd på ett bra initiativ och annars kul att stå där som utställare. Men om vi ska lära barn om hållbarhet måste vi själva kunna visa att det går på enkla sätt att välja bättre alternativ.
15. Thanks for a great initiative and experience - we need many more of those!
16. It's unacceptable to arrange a conference concerning sustainable development without making any attempts to make the conference sustainable!
17. It's a pity that the information about serving organic food etc that was to be read in the programme was not observed by quite a few participants
18. No
19. The moderator during the panel discussion did not behave in a good way when Hanna was asking her question!! And why flying in an incompetent moderator when there were so many good and competent people at the conference and in Lund?
20. Over all nice experience and inspiring for future work!
21. Thank you very much! I enjoyed it very much and make sure you have time to breath now that it is over!
22. For me it was a good conference. More things I can use in my own school. Certainly not a waste of time and money.
23. In general, well organized and kind staff always helpful and smiling. I learnt many things, thank you.
24. Thank you for the invitation and I would be honoured to be able to follow this up in the future. I have Hope!
25. there wasnt enough sitting space around conference facilities or even standing tables to have lunch etc...
26. thank you once again - looking forward to heringof the conference outcomes
27. Very valuable and important conference. I hope that there will be some impact on policy and practice from this event and a follow up conference!

28. I must say I was also a bit shocked in the last session, when a young girl posed a question and got a quite patronizing comment from the discussion leader - that was surprisingly disrespectful.
29. The conference was good organized and it was also good, that young people had the chance to present their performance. Thank you for your good job and for the good time in Lund.
30. Thank you for good info and nice atmosphere
31. i think that starting to educate teachers in ESD is a very important issue and we need to start as soon as possible.
32. Nice city
33. Try to keep the interest and discussions going, through different networks.
34. Due to the subject of the conferese I think those who organized it should have been taken a course in SD! Where were the ecological food, re-cycling and so on??? I think it gave a lot of the delegates dubble messages!
35. It was fantastic conference venue and good with seminars and work shops spread at the University area, althoug I think many sessions lost members because they were far away.
36. I found the program a little bit confusing. No referring was done in the backgroundpaper what already has been done and achieved e.g. Baltic 21 E, UNECE strategy and indicators set up to the strategy. Now what was in the background-paper can be found already in the Göteborg conference of 2004. At least one seminar and workdhop should have focused on assesment using UNECE indicators. What have students learned of work ongoing in some cases since 1970s? Now you got a feeling this is the first step of ESD as a whole.
37. Grön flagg manifestationen var bra - liksom köerna.
38. I was very happy to have the opportunity to take part in such an excellent conference dealing with such important issues.it was so much fun to meet so many enthusiastic people from all over the world that are willing to contribute their time and profesionalism to the most imprttant task we are dealing with just now. To give our children and grandchildren a better world. Thank you for letting me attend. Thank you for letting me attend. I am honored.
39. I have got power to meet challenges in working with ESD in my proffession.
40. On conferences dealing with thema SUSTAINABILITY should be ecological/organic food obvious.
41. Many thanks to the organizers, seminar leaders, workshop facilitators, key-note speakers, project fair exhibitators for an excellent job!
42. Thankyou for a well organized conference. I look forward to be part of the education for sustainability./Kerstin Engblom
43. Thank you for an interesting conference especially for all of you who made great efforts in arranging and coordinating everything.
44. Interesting comment from the audience about how to keep the costs low.
45. see you next time
46. I think it is very hard for young welleducated persons to change the "old men". This was mainfested in the last minutes in the actions between Hanna and the moderator. We need to educate bort the ministers of Education as well as the old men (and women) running the businesses. If young people get the same treat as Hanna got ,they won´t go on trying to change the conditions.
47. Although there is some room for improvment, I really appreciate everyone's effort and contribution!! Thank you so much!
48. Thank You for letting us attend.
49. Thank for a great meeting place.
50. Torvald was totally not capable to share the task as session chair with Maja. He dominated over a very competent young woman, which gave an accumulated unbalance. Maja should have taken the 'Join the dialogue' things, as she was obviously more skilled in this than Torvald. Why did he hand out both prizes? With that behaviour the female chair is used as a token of equity, but not recognised as a partner.
51. I was rather shocked by the mind set of many of the presenters, politicians etc. What I mean can be summed up by the question: Why was Anders Wijkman so popular? We need more people of his calibre and less lip service. We need more honesty and more courage. A very important question, that came up on the screen and, interestingly, was stated as not being

politically correct, albeit important, is the one about the over population in the world. This issue was not addressed. And what about growth, is there a limit to growth? These questions address fundamental paradigm issues.

52. overall a very good impression - lots of new contacts, well organised thank you

53. it was really interactive, creative and innovative. As for me the goals of the conference are reached

54. Since I was only present at the project fair I couldn't answer all questions

55. I was happy to be there! And about my comment nr. 14 : a lot more could be said as well as differently, but it would have been nice to get more concrete solutions ways to get things changed ... it will take time, but as companies got the time to get so big so rapidly it must be possible to do the same with getting more sustainable - and for that we needed different debates - because as we know learning is important, but this is something that has to be done rapid, more rapid than the sophisticated approach of the destruction that is still going on ... as i am writing.. I hope you get what i mean. Otherwise my email : esther.l@orkz.net Thank you

56. The chairman of the panel discussion was very professional until he was face with an issue of quality. Sad that he couldn't meet that "challenge"

57. Thanks to organizers for the really interesting and useful event and spirit of cooperation

58. ESD concept is still not clear to most people. There is a risk that many will embark on using the concept but nothing or very little will be implemented.